Introduction

The Sloan Work and Family Research Network has prepared Fact Sheets that provide statistical answers to some important questions about work–family and work–life issues. This Fact Sheet includes statistics about Older Workers. (Last updated: April 2009)

What proportion of the U.S. population are older adults?

Fact 1 According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2003, 21.9% of the population was 55 years old or older (U.S. Census Bureau, 2004–2005a).

Fact 2 The U.S. Census Bureau predicts that by 2010, people aged 55 or older will make up 24.7% of the U.S. population, and by 2050, their portion of the population will grow to 31.5% (U.S. Census Bureau, 2004–2005b).

Fact 3 In 2000, 33,347,000 persons were 65 years old or older. Of those, 41.9% had a disability (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003).

Are older people still working?

Fact 1 “Workers aged 55+ were only 13 percent of the workforce in 2000, down from about 17 percent in 1950” (Rix, 2004, p. 4).

Fact 2 “Continuing the slow and fairly steady increase first observed in the mid– to late–1980s, the labor force participation rate for those aged 55–64 rose from 62.3% to 62.9% between 2004 and 2005” (Rix, 2006, p. 1).

Fact 3 “Men and women in what might be considered the retirement–age population (i.e., 65–69) were more likely to be in the labor force in 2005 than in 2004; 28.3% were working or looking for work versus 27.7% a year earlier” (Rix, 2006, p. 1).

Fact 4 “The number of employed men and women aged 55 and older rose by more than 1.3 million, or by 5.6%, in 2005” (Rix, 2006, p. 1).
Fact 5  Of the Boomers born between 1946 and 1964, 68% are working full time and 12% part-time (Roper ASW, 2004, p. 95).

Fact 6  “The EU working population is ageing (the age distribution of EU workers in 2005 peaked at 40 years of age compared to 25 years of age 15 years ago)” (European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, 2005, p. 2).

Which older people are still working?

Fact 1  The percentage of married women in the labor force between the ages of 45–64 has increased from 44% in 1970 to 67.4% in 2003 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2004–2005d).

Fact 2  Eighty three and a half percent of married men between the ages of 45–64 were in the labor force in 2003 compared to only 67.6% of single men in that same age bracket (U.S. Census Bureau, 2004–2005d).

Fact 3  In 2003, 10.2% of women and 17.8% of men age 65 or older were employed in the labor force (U.S. Census Bureau, 2004–2005c).

How does working at an older age impact employee health?

Fact 1  “Paid work at older ages reduced the probability of having any ADL limitation by 2 percent, of reporting negative mood indicators by 2 percent, and of dying by 1 percent” (Calvo, 2006, p. 3).

Fact 2  “Paid work at older ages reduced the probability of reporting fair/poor health in 2002 by 6 percent” (Calvo, 2006, p. 3).

Fact 3  “Higher job satisfaction is associated with an improved mood. Those who do not enjoy going to work are 5 percent more likely to report negative mood indicators” (Calvo, 2006, p. 4).

What is the financial situation of older workers?

Fact 1  “Small business owners (50 or more years old) have much higher annual earnings ($114,102 average; $65,000 median) than wage and salaried employees ($52,635 average; $52,635 median) or independently self-employed (older) workers ($78,110 average; $40,000 median)” (Bond, Galinsky, Pitt-Catsoughes, & Smyer, 2005a, p. 5).

Fact 2  Of people 50 or more years old who are small business owners, wage and salaried employees, or self-employed independents, those “who are self-employed independents are the least likely to have personal health insurance” (89% are insured) (Bond et al., 2005a, p. 6).
Is it harder for older job seekers to find employment?

Fact 1 “The average duration of unemployment in 2005 was 24.1 weeks for jobseekers aged 55 or older and 17.8 weeks for jobseekers under age 55. This compares to 25.8 weeks and 18.9 weeks, respectively, in 2004” (Rix, 2006, p. 2).

Fact 2 “Few older Americans who are not currently working say they wish they were. Just over 2% of the 41 million persons aged 55 and older who were not in the labor force in 2005 reported that they wanted a job, but only 30% of those who wanted a job had looked for work during the previous year” (Rix, 2006, p. 2).

Are older workers getting discriminated against or displaced from their jobs?

Fact 1 “(D)isplacement rates [of workers aged 50–64], while cyclical, show no discernible upward or downward trend over the period 1984–2006” (Hunnell & Sass, 2008, p. 3).

Fact 2 “In fiscal year (FY) 1999, slightly more than 14,100 age charges were filed with the EEOC, 28% fewer than in FY 1992. As the economy soured, age charges rose, reaching 19,921 in FY 2002. Since then, however, the number of charges has fallen. In FY 2005, 16,585 charges were filed with the EEOC, down 7% from FY 2004” (Rix, 2006, p. 3).

How are older workers different from younger workers?

Fact 1 “Workers, 50 or older, are significantly more likely than younger workers to be independent self-employed workers (17 percent of older workers vs. 12 percent of younger workers) or small business owners (9 percent of older workers vs. 5 percent of younger workers) and thus, less likely than younger workers to be wage and salaried employees who work for someone else (74 percent of older workers vs. 83 percent of younger workers)” (Bond et al., 2005a, p. 2).

Fact 2 “Compared with their younger counterparts, workers, 60 or more years old, are about twice as likely to own small businesses or to be self-employed” (Bond et al., 2005a, p. 2).

Fact 3 “Overall (when combining the response of older men and women), older employees exhibit significantly greater commitment to their employers (32 percent high commitment) than younger employees under 50 years old (26 percent)” (Bond, Galinsky, Pitt–Catsouphes, & Smyer, 2005b, p. 14).

Fact 4 “According to a major study Towers Perrin conducted in 2003 among roughly 35,000 employees working for midsize and large U.S. companies, employees over age 50 were more motivated to exceed expectations on the job than were younger workers” (Feinsod, Davenport, & Arthurs, 2005, p. 22).
Fact 5  “Towers Perrin’s analysis of company–paid medical claim costs shows that employees age 50 to 65
use on average from 1.4 to 2.2 times as much health care as workers in their 30s and 40s” (Feinsod et al.,
2005, p. 17).

What are some differences between older male and female workers?

Fact 1  “Gender differences are especially pronounced in the 65–plus workforce: 27% of employed men
and 19% of employed women aged 65 or older can be found in nontraditional or alternative work
arrangements” (Rix, 2006, p. 2).

Fact 2  “Older women earn 55 cents for every dollar that men earn from all hours worked at all jobs. If we
compare hourly rates of pay at main jobs (with salaries converted to hourly rates), older women earn 69 cents
for every dollar older men earn—still a substantial difference” (Bond et al., 2005b, p. 6).

Fact 3  “In 2002, the average (mean) annual income of older men is $80,839 compared with $64,444 for
women. There is a similar disparity in median annual family income: $66,300 for older men versus $51,134
for older women” (Bond et al., 2005b, p. 3).

Fact 4  “Only 80 percent of the women compared with 91 percent of the men have access to health
insurance through their employer” (Bond et al., 2005b, p. 8).

Fact 5  “Among those older employees who live with immediate family members (e.g., spouse, partner,
and/or children), 46 percent of the men indicate that they are ‘very satisfied’ with their family life, but only 32
percent of the women report this high level of satisfaction” (Bond et al., 2005b, p. 12).

Fact 6  “About one of every eight male employees 50 or more years old (13 percent) exhibit self-reported
symptoms of poor mental health compared to one of every five women (20 percent) in this age group” (Bond et
al., 2005b, p. 14).

Fact 7  “Similar percentages of older men (53 percent) and women (58 percent) report ‘high’ satisfaction
with their jobs” (Bond et al., 2005b, p. 12).

What are the future trends for older workers in the workforce?

Fact 1  “The total labor force is projected to increase by 14.7 million (10%) from 2004 to 2014, with
persons aged 55 and over accounting for nearly 77% of the increase” (Rix, 2006, p. 3).

Fact 2  “The [labor force participation] rate for men aged 55 and over is projected to increase from 43.2%
in 2004 to 46.3% in 2014, a 7.2% increase, while that for women is projected to rise from 30.5% to 36.8%, or
by nearly 21%” (Rix, 2006, p. 3).
Fact 3  “By 2014 men and women aged 55 and over may account for one in five labor force participants (21%), compared to one in seven (16%) in 2005” (Rix, 2006, p. 3).

Fact 4  “By 2014, women will be 48% of the aged 55+ workforce, up from 46% 10 years earlier and only 23% in 1950” (Rix, 2006, p. 3).

What percentage of the workforce will retire soon?

Fact 1  “Defining eligibility to retire as meeting the age and/or years of service rules in their retirement plans, 16.9 percent of respondents reported that more than one-quarter of their current workforce will be eligible to retire over the next five years. Other studies have estimated that nationwide just over 40 percent of the U.S. workforce will be eligible to retire during the next ten years” (Ernst & Young LLP Human Capital Practice, 2006, p. 10).

Fact 2  “Just over 19 percent of respondents reported that the average age of those workers who had retired from their organization during the last 12 months was 65 or older. Another 22.9 percent reported the average age at 63–64 and 21.7 percent reported the average age at 61–62. Only 2.4 percent reported the average age at 55–56. Almost 23 percent of respondents, however, did not know the average age of recent retirees” (Ernst & Young LLP Human Capital Practice, 2006, p. 11).

Fact 3  “For 27.4 percent of respondents, workers over age 50 comprise more than one-quarter of their workforce. Another 15.5 percent of respondents reported that 21–25 percent of their workforce is over age 50. For 42.9 percent of respondents, more than one-fifth of their workforce is over age 50” (Ernst & Young LLP Human Capital Practice, 2006, p. 9).

What issues are companies thinking about in order to prepare for their older workers retiring?

Fact 1  “Almost half of the respondents rated the aging of their workforce as very important or important to their organization’s goals and strategy over the next five years. Another 41.1 percent rated it somewhat important. The remaining 11 percent rated it not important at all” (Ernst & Young LLP Human Capital Practice, 2006, p. 13).

Fact 2  When asked about their attitudes towards human capital, respondents stated that, “(t)he availability of talent was rated as a top human capital concern (just over 38 percent). Talent management (right employees/right positions) was cited by 29.1 percent of respondents. Retention of key employees was cited by 20.9 percent and maintaining intellectual capital by 17.4 percent. The cost of compensation and benefit programs, people not taking advantage of benefits and related programs/systems currently in place, and employee productivity were each cited by only 7 percent of respondents” (Ernst & Young LLP Human Capital Practice, 2006, p. 11).
The Network has additional resources related to this topic.

1. Visit a topic page on Older Workers at: http://wfnetwork.bc.edu/topic.php?id=9
   Topic pages provide resources and information, including statistics, definitions, overviews & briefs, bills & statutes, interviews, teaching resources, audio/video, suggested readings, and links.

2. Visit our database of academic literature with citations and annotations of literature related to the issue of Older Workers. You can connect to this database at: http://library.bc.edu/?func=find-b-0&local_base=BCL_WF
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